## The Galileo Project: What Worked, What Didn't

#### Steven B. Krivit, Editor New Energy Times

8th International Workshop on Anomalies in Hydrogen- and Deuterium-Loaded Metals Catania, Sicily, Italy October 16, 2007

1

### **Historical Context**

#### **BIG QUESTIONS:**

- 1. 17-years later, science or pseudoscience?
- 2. Were F&P right or wrong?
- 3. Were the science authorities right or wrong?

#### **CIRCUMSTANCES:**

- 1. Nearly all prior objections: Resolved
- 2. Current dominant objection: Irreproducibility
- 3. Scientific atmosphere: hostility, high stakes

### The SPAWAR Claims

- 1. Simple
- 2. Unambiguous
- 3. Portable
- 4. Highly repeatable
- 5. Permanent

### Challenge to the Claims

1.	Simple (Exp/Analysis)	YES/NO
2.	Unambiguous	NO
3.	Portable	YES
4.	Highly repeatable	YES
<b>5</b> .	Permanent	YES

### Guidance

- 1. Harry M. Collins: "Changing Order"
- 2. Taleyarkhan: How not to attempt replication
- 3. Fleischmann and Pons 1989: How to hinder replication

## A Borrowed Strategy

**Software Industry: Alpha and Beta testers** 

- F&P: Provide poor instructions to the entire world, quickly
- Galileo Project: Create detailed protocol, deploy strategically

## **The Galileo Project Team**

- 8 Teams (2 Alpha, 6 Beta)
- 3 Advisors
- 3 Countries: U.S., India, Netherlands
- 34 People total
- As of this conference, 4 replication teams will have reported to the scientific community
- Journal papers in progress
- Misinformation: "NET to announce results of TGP" No "Science by Press Release (or Web site)"

## **Team Member Qualifications**

- "Science students from the undergraduate level up"
- Access to the required laboratory equipment

"Skilled in the Art"

## **Initial Response to Results**

Polarized response to initial apparently positive results:
A) Seek better ways to confirm nuclear characteristics
B) Seek alternative ways to disconfirm nuclear claim

#### Disconfirmation Attempts

A) Highly speculative explanationsB) Failed to consider 3D track geometry

# Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt

- Distracting
- Time-consuming
- Demoralizing

# Fear, Uncertainty, Doubt

- Distracting
- Time-consuming
- Demoralizing

#### FEAR: Not an over-dramatizaion!

- A) Reprimand for "inappropriate research" by employer
- **B)** Job and consequently career threatened
- C) Denied access to lab equipment
- D) Prohibited from being co-author on accepted paper in peer-reviewed journal (This is nothing new, but still, 18 years later?)

# **Open Invitation ?**

- Choose people who want it to be a success
- Stakeholders
- Track record of demonstrated interest in positive outcome

## Facilitate Group Communication

- 1. Development of the Lab Protocol
- 2. Internet discussion list
- 3. Telephone science conferences



# The Galileo Project Lab Protocol

- Version 1: (Pam's) 2 pages of text, separate photos
- Version 2: (TGP team) 35 pages, integrated photos, diagrams, materials and parts list, supplemental videotape

(All but one team follow the lab protocol at the beginning)

### **Internet Discussion List**

#### **GOOD FOR :**

- Simple questions
- Basic instructions

#### **BAD FOR PRESENTATION OF RESULTS:**

- Poorly-described
- Incomplete presentations
- Poor tracking and threading
- Poor accountability
- Poor comprehension

## **Telephone Science Conferences**

#### SETUP

- Deadlines for abstracts
- Advance electronic distribution
- Structured session

#### BENEFITS

- Low cost
- Quick setup
- Real-time discussion
- Wider bandwidth communication
- Historical record
- Level playing field (Only one team declined to participate)

## First Challenge: CR-39 Materials Issue

New ground - no textbooks - few experts

- 1. CR-39 designed for air/vacuum
- 2. All CR-39 not created equal
- 3. TASL brand: All fogged
- 4. Landauer/Fukuvi brand: Generally clear

### **Chemical Attack Issue**

**Critical assertions:** 

- 1. All CR-39 is equal
- 2. Fogged results at UCB/SRI = SPAWAR
- 3. Chlorine and oxygen reaction

#### Shift from "wet" to "dry" experiments

- 1. Isolated chemistry
- 2. Blocked 90% of apparent alphas
- 3. Killed most of the subsequent results
- 4. Results of most wet experiments still pending, not yet effectively analyzed.

## **Other Challenges**

1.Delay in sharing the backside track and apparent neutron observation from SPAWAR

- 2. Gold cathode stimulates proton recoil effect
- Silver cathode kills proton recoil effect
- Lab protocol designed to use silver cathode
- 3. Effects are created w-w/o external fields with Au, Ag, Pt
- With Ni, effects are <u>not</u> created without external field
- This was not known prior to the start of TGP

#### What's Next

- Improve characterization of "success"
- Utilize better analytical tools and process

#### **Appreciation**

- Galileo Project Team Members
- New Energy Institute Sponsors
- Skeptics
- CMNS Community

## www.newenergytimes.com

#### New Energy Times Magazine Phone: (310) 470-8189 steven1@newenergytimes.com

www.LENR.org www.ISCMNS.org