FROM: GOLDES		DATE OF DOCUMENT:	MEMO: REPORT: OTHER:		NO: 90-707	
		LTR: MEMO:			ER:	
TO:		ORIG: CC:	OTH	IER:		
THS		CLASSIFICATION/CONTRO	L MARKINGS:	-		
REG. NO:	DUE DATE: 5-15-90	FILE CODE:	DATE ANSWERED: BY:			
DESCRIPTION (Must Be UI	nclassified)	REFERRED TO:	DATE	RECEIVED BY:	DATE	
ENCLOSED INFO ON NUCLEAR FUSION, COLD FUSION AND OTHER POWER GENERATIN		NG DAVIES-action	5-7 A	CTIO		
SYSTEMS	· dei	ADLER-Info	5-7			
ENCLOSURES:	novent					
	p g y		ja ja	-		
REPLY IDRECT	1444 ER-16	DESTRUCTION RECORD: COPY NUMBER(S) PAGE COUNT DATE:				
		DESTROYED BY:				
U.S. DEPARTMENT	OF ENERGY * CLAS	SIFIED DOCUMENT C	ONTROL FORM	W * DOE-F-	5635.2 (9-83)	

## DISCLAIMER

Portions of this document may be illegible in electronic image products. Images are produced from the best available original document.

**U.S. DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY** CORRESPONDENCE CONTROL FORM OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT 14:58 Y CHANGE **DO NOT DETACH FROM ORIGINAL CORRESPONDENCE** CODE PM PUBLIC MAIL DATE CNTRL: 05/04/90 DATE DUE. 05 TT: DATE DUE: 05/18/90 DATE RECD: 05/04/90 \*/30/90 = TO: SECY: X DEP SEC: \_\_\_\_ UN SEC: \_\_\_ OTHER: \_\_\_ D: TWX: OTHER: ( ES, MARK - 3 CA D **REMARKS**: 1111 ETIC POWER INCORPORATED 1 EAR ON FOR USE BY ACTION OFFICE ONLY OSES INFORMATION ON DUE ACTION REFERRED TO DATE **RETURN TO** EAR FUSION, COLD FUSION 51 1 Davies OTHER POWER GENERATING 2 EMS 6 3 111 SIG OF: ER O: ER the way to TYPE ACTION: Reply direct C DNS DS US DE NE PE ES1 DO4 CONTROL ANALYST: B. ATCHERSON ... 5075 DEPMGOLDES-ES90007444 C **ALL DOCUMENTS FOR THE OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY** BE FORWARDED TO THE OFFICE OF THE EXECUTIVE SECRETARIAT FOR FINAL PROCESSING ( File - Cold Fusion Starly --

## MPI Magnetic Power Incorporated

The Honorable James B. Watson Office of the Secretary, Room 7A 257 U.S. Department of Energy 1000 Independence Avenue Washington, D.C. 20585

Dear Secretary Watson:

200,005

I am writing to you at the suggestion of Gregory Reck at NASA, and because you have indicated an open-minded interest in exploring new approaches to nuclear power.

Dr. Robert Carroll, now 80 years of age, has spent his entire life pursuing such an alternative based on a process that can be described technically as induced nuclear disruption.

The enclosed U.S. Patent, #3,364,143, recently expired, covers a key part of the technology. Two other invention disclosures, submitted at about the same time, were denied patents in 1971 on the grounds of inoperability. Recent developments suggest these inventions can now be shown to have a very reasonable possibility of functioning. If so, they may open the way to a radically new approach to nuclear power.

If Carroll is correct regarding induced nuclear disruption there are three important consequences:

1. A most powerful electric power generating system that is comparatively simple and inexpensive to build. Radioactivity produced is likely to be confined to the reaction chamber. Neither the fuel or waste products are expected to be radioactive.

2. A space propulsion system that could obsolete rocketry with dramatic reductions in propulsion system weight requirements.

3. And unfortunately, a weapons system of unparalleled destructive power, that one USAF scientist familiar with Carroll's published writings suggested has very ugly implications if it ever became a terrorist weapon.

The first of the recent developments that lend credibility is independent verification of Carroll's prediction regarding a method of achieving ambient temperature hyper/superconductivity.

As a consequence of a discussion with me in January of last year, Dr. Barry Johnson at the University of Alabama had a team reproduce ambient temperature hyperconductivity in extremely thin filaments of elemental bismuth over the past several months.

The work was originally done by Ronald Bourgoin and is covered by U.S. Patent # 4,325,795 issued April 20th, 1982. Current carrying capacity appears larger than any known superconductor. The name hyperconductor is employed because the Meissner effect, the classic test for superconductivity, cannot be used on such thin filaments (less than 1,000 angstroms in diameter).

6876 Sebastopol Ave. • P.O. Box 880 • Sebastopol, CA 95473 • (707) 829-9391 • FAX (707) 829-1002

For various reasons, including the desire to avoid the hoopla that resulted from the Cold Fusion announcement, Johnson will probably not make an announcement until later this year. I understand that current density at Alabama is 6 amperes through a filament calculated to be 1,000 angstroms in diameter. Bourgoin claims similar samples he has made in North Carolina pass 10 amperes for several hours and 30 amperes for 5 minutes. Dr. Johnson has been performing this work in connection with funding by the SDIO. One of our consultants recently speculated that perhaps a single filament is not present, but rather that the fine powder used can be considered a "fractal" powder producing a current path that may meander, without loss, from filament to filament.

Bourgoin was a graduate student of Dr. Carroll's before the latter retired some years ago as Chair of the Physics Department of the Baptist College near Charleston, South Carolina. The work with bismuth was a reduction to practice of a theory concerning ambient temperature superconductivity developed by Dr. Carroll and reflected in the enclosed patent which issued during 1972.

While the Carroll patent is entitled A Low Temperature Heat Transfer Device, and it has important potential as an alternative to the CFCs for air conditioning and refrigeration, a variation constructed with concentric cylinders is described as a Resonance Absorber. It is the key component of two systems of controlled nuclear disruption which Carroll believes have the potential for inducing total mass to energy conversions.

Independent verification of Bourgoin's work by Johnson's group, and the recent findings by a few well qualified laboratories indicating that the work in cold fusion confirms Carroll's theoretical prediction that fusion can be achieved in a solid, make it entirely possible that the technology described in these earlier patent applications can be realized. (See enclosed paper by M. Rabinowitz). Only experiments can determine whether that is indeed the case. Dr. Carroll plans to resubmit the patent applications.

With the success in reproducing Bourgoin's work at Alabama, the Resonance Absorber can easily and inexpensively be tested. If Carroll is correct, it would make possible the simplest, most powerful, alternative electric power generating system of which we are aware.

Alabama will at some point publicize the Bourgoin verification, triggering a closer look at Carroll's work throughout the world.

Carroll's life long argument with much of relativity theory appears subsidiary to the most important question, namely, will these devices function as predicted in experiments?

Carroll began his analysis while employed at the old Bureau of Standards during WWII. He noted a Physical Review article by Millikan et al, speculating that spontaneous nuclear disruption might explain the origin of certain cosmic rays. (Unknown to Carroll until last year, the Millikan group performed experiments, reported in two later Physical Review articles later in WWII, suggesting their earlier speculation might be valid).

Carroll later noticed that experiments at Lawrence Berkeley Laboratory in 1947 demonstrated that proton-antiproton annihilation yields highly energetic pi mesons (pions). Both of his designs attempt to produce neutrons in the resonance absorber by means of k capture.

In the first system, using helium as a fuel, a resulting total mass to energy transform is expected to yield a copious supply of highly energetic pions which can either spin turbines to produce electricity, or drive a spacecraft at phenomenal speeds with a light, compact, fuel load.

In the second design, using hydrogen as the fuel, the neutrons cause fusion to take place interior to a spongy metal such as platinum (other metals appear workable). This is, of course, "fusion in a solid". As with cold fusion, no radioactive fuel is involved.

It is now possible to release single atoms of these gases into a Resonance Absorber allowing Carroll's designs to be tested.

I hope you will agree that an urgent investigation should begin immediately, with suitable security restrictions, to determine if Carroll's nuclear disruption ideas are sound. The implications of ignoring this work any longer are far too dangerous, since once widely publicized (Alabama is planning to report their verification at some point in Physical Review Letters), it is possible induced disruption will be tried by nations anxious to enter the "nuclear club". The cost is trivial compared to systems employing fission or fusion as weapons.

A single-stage of the heat transfer device can be used to develop solid state refrigerators and air conditioning systems. We intend to move this potential forward rapidly, for obvious commercial, electric power and social policy reasons.

I would appreciate your comments and cooperation.

Sincerely,

Papel Sont

Mark Goldes Chief Executive Officer

100 HVX -0 W 0: 00